

## **REMARKS**

The Final Office Action dated March 16, 2009 has been reviewed and carefully considered. Claims 1-5 and 7 are pending. Reconsideration of the above-identified application, as amended and in view of the following remarks, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3 and 5 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Khosla et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,202,061.

Applicant respectfully disagrees with, and explicitly traverses, the Examiner's reason for rejecting the claims.

Claim 1, as amended, recites:

A system for enabling a user to manipulate a user interface, the system comprising:

receiving means for receiving a selection from the user;

obtaining means for obtaining at least one previous collection that matches the selection;

generating means for automatically generating a generated-collection that comprises at least one item, wherein each item in the generated-collection matches the selection; and

presentation means for generating to the user, through the user interface, an overview comprising the generated-collection and the at least one previous collection that matches the selection.

Claim 3 contains method elements corresponding to the means plus function elements of claim 1. Each of these independent claims recites that the selection by a user results in an overview being presented to the user. This overview contains:

1. At least one previous collection that matches the selection, and
2. A generated-collection that comprises at least one item, obtained by an automatic generating means, and wherein each item matches the selection.

Support for these features is found, inter alia, at paragraphs [0006] and [0021] of the published application. Paragraph [0006] also contains the following example:

For example, in the case that a user wants to select all music albums of a certain artist, the user can be provided with all music albums of that artist and with a generated album that comprises songs of the artist that are for example present upon different albums comprising a collection of songs of several artists. In this way, the user is provided with a user interface, that can show real albums and a generated album that comprises songs of the artist.

Applicant respectfully submits that Khosla does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed subject matter quoted above, and moreover fails to properly address the features recited in claim 1. The system of Khosla allows the user to perform a search of picture properties (see col. 11, lines, 1-6). As illustrated in Fig. 11, the system of Khosla displays a thumbnail of each image found in a search (see col. 11, lines 47-51). Relying on a user's interaction, Khosla's invention subsequently generates a new album containing pictures found in the search.

The current Office Action points to Fig. 12E as teaching the features of claim 1. Fig. 12E of Khosla relates to presenting an entire collection of photos (“ ... in FIG. 12E, the shoebox icon, which represents the picture database for all pictures inputted into the album authoring software of the present invention ...” (col. 7, lines 5-8)). Accordingly, the Office Action’s reading of Khosla against claim 1 requires that the claim feature “matches the selection” used in obtaining the at least one previous collection (at line 5) means the entire shoebox collection. That being so, it is nonsensical for the Office Action to then argue that Khosla teaches claim 1’s generating means (lines 6-8) used to generate a generated-collection, wherein each item in the generated-collection “matches the [same] selection” – that is, that each generated item is in the entire shoebox collection. While this may be a truism, it has no meaningful significance. It merely states that each selection item is contained in the collection from which it was selected. Accordingly, it clearly fails to properly address the features of claim 1.

Moreover, claim 1 recites a system comprising a generating means for generating a generated-collection. Khosla invention merely permits a user to manually create such a generated-collection (“The user may, while simultaneously viewing the thumbnails in the thumbnail region 305, select and drag a selected thumbnail to one of the two picture slots to place the image represented by the thumbnail at this spot in the picture album.” (col. 14, lines 43-48)) Applicant respectfully submits that such user activity is not within the scope of system claim 1. In the interests of furthering prosecution, Applicant has amended claims 1 and 3 to clearly recite that the generating of the generated-collection is done automatically, that is, without the user being required to manually create it.

For at least the above reasons, Applicant submits that Khosla fails to teach the features of claim 1 which recite presenting to a user an overview that contains at least one previous collection that matches the user's selection and a generated-collection that comprises at least one item, obtained by an automatic generating means, wherein each item therein matches the selection.

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element recited therein is expressly or inherently described in a single prior art reference. Khosla cannot be said to anticipate the present invention, because Khosla fails to disclose each and every element recited in claim 1. Claim 3 also contains these features and is deemed patentable over Khosla for at least the same reasons.

Having shown that Khosla fails to disclose each and every element claimed, applicant submits that the reason for the Examiner's rejection of claims 1 and 3 have been overcome and can no longer be sustained. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration, withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 1 and 3.

With regard to claims 2, 4, 5 and 7, these claims ultimately depend from one of the independent claims, which have been shown to be not anticipated and allowable in view of the cited references. Accordingly, claims 2, 4, 5 and 7 are also allowable by virtue of their dependence from an allowable base claim.

For all the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all the present claims are patentable in view of the cited references. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dan Piotrowski  
Registration No. 42,079

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thomas J. Onka', written over a horizontal line.

By: Thomas J. Onka  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 42,053

Date: May 17, 2009

**Mail all correspondence to:**

Dan Piotrowski, Registration No. 42,079  
US PHILIPS CORPORATION  
P.O. Box 3001  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001  
Phone: (914) 333-9624  
Fax: (914) 332-0615